# This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

### **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
D BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
OTHER:

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/471,333	12/23/1999	DOUGLAS P . BOGIA	ELAS P . BOGIA PM-263216 7277  EXAMINER	
27496 75	590 09/08/2004			
PILLSBURY WINTHROP LLP			CAO, DIEM K	
725 S. FIGUEROA STREET SUITE 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90017			2126	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/471,333	BOGIA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Diem K Cao	2126				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	<u>ıne 2004</u> .					
, <del></del>	,					
	·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Identified or b) objected to by the Identified or by the Ident	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2126

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-30 remain in the application. Applicant has amended claims 1, 15-28 and 30.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 5-6, 10, 15, 19 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leyda (U.S. 5,867,730) in view of Charabaszcz (U.S. 6,263,387 B1) further in view of Thambidurai (Internet Printing).
- 4. As to claim 1, Leyda teaches a computer connected to a peripheral device (computer 10, keyboard, peripheral devices; col. 2, line 42 col. 3, line 10), preparing the computer to retrieve a first device identification from a memory of the peripheral device (The FINDCD.SYS ... including a vendor identification and model number for the CD\_ROM driver 30; col. 6, lines 35-54), comparing the first device identification to device names on a list of names associated with device drivers (with the unique identification ... driver type; col. 7, line 30 col. 8, line 31), the list and the drivers being stored in a memory of the computer (the system 100 includes ... software driver files 104 ... loads the corresponding software ... driver files 104; col. 5, line 20 col. 6, line 22), and selecting for use an associated driver if the first device identification matches one of the name (the system 100 selects... in the memory 14; col. 7, line 30 col. 8, line 31),

wherein at least a portion of a routine for preparing, comparing and selecting operations is stored in a memory of the computer (The present invention is embodied in a system 100; col. 5, lines 20-45).

5. However, Leyda does not teach a printer server, the print server, and peripheral device, and the computer being connected via a computer network, wherein the print server is directly connected to the computer, the peripheral device is indirectly connected to the computer, and the print server to retrieve a first device identification from a memory of the peripheral device. Charabaszcz teaches a server (server system 100, computer 101; col. 6, lines 1-42), and peripheral device (adapters, printers; col. 6, lines 1-42), and the computer (client computer; col. 6, lines 1-42) being connected via a computer network (LAN card, client computer, various types of printers; col. 6, lines 1-18), wherein the server is directly connected to the computer (client computer, remote computer, computer network; col. 6, lines 1-42 and Fig. 1), the peripheral device is indirectly connected to the computer (printers 122; col. 6, lines 1-42 and Fig. 1), and the print server to retrieve a first device identification from a memory of the peripheral device (the auto configuration program retrieves the Vendor and device ID from the device; col. 11, lines 7-21). Although Charabaszcz does not teach a print server, Chrabaszcz teaches a server and a device in general (abstract), and server controls various types of printers (col. 6, lines 1-18). Obviously, the server in the system of Chrabaszcz could be print server. Thambidurai teaches the printer driver should be installed locally in the end-user's host or in the printer site is left to the implementation (page 8, right column, last paragraph).

6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Leyda, Charabaszcz and Thambidurai because it provides a method for a client computer not only to use the directly attached devices but also network devices wherein the network devices being controlled and monitored by a server.

- 7. **As to claim 5**, Leyda does not teach the peripheral device is a printer. Leyda teaches the peripheral device in general term. It would have been obvious the peripheral device could be a printer.
- 8. As to claim 6, Leyda does not explicitly teach the computer network is compatible with the Microsoft Windows Operating System. Leyda teaches computer could be MS Windows 95. Chrabaszcz teaches the computer network is compatible with the Microsoft Windows Operating System (Alternative embodiments ... DOS, Windows 3.1/95/98). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Leyda and Charabaszcz because it provides a method for Leyda to have access to Internet devices.
- 9. **As to claim 10**, Leyda teaches the selecting occurs automatically (The invention is ... automatic identification and configuration of computer hardware peripherals; col. 1, lines 55-60, and the system 100 automatically selects the appropriate software driver from the software driver files 104; col. 8, lines 25-31).

Art Unit: 2126

- 10. As to apparatus and an article of manufacture claims 15 and 30, they correspond to the method claim of claim 1.
- 11. **As to claim 19**, see rejection of claim 6 above.
- 12. Claims 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Charabaszcz (U.S. 6,263,387 B1) in view of White et al (U.S. 6,301,012 B1) further in view of Thambidurai (Internet Printing).
- 13. As to claim 27, Chrabaszcz teaches installing the drivers in the server if the current installation is the first installation and storing information associated with the current installation in a memory of the print server (the program automatically loads ... the user, saving the modified start-up configuration files in the system's main memory; col. 11, line 1 col. 12, line 34), retrieving information associated with the first installation from the memory if the current installation is not the first installation (inherent from "saving the modified start up ... main memory"; col. 12, lines 15-34), retrieving a current identification data string from a memory of the printer (the auto configuration program retrieves the Vendor and device ID from the device; col. 11, lines 7-21), the current identification data string being associated with the current installation (the auto configuration program retrieves the Vendor and device ID from the device; col. 11, lines 7-21), and installing the printer drivers in the server based upon the information associated with the first installation (inherent from "saving the modified start up ... main memory"; col. 12, lines 15-34), the server (server; col. 6, lines 1-42), the peripheral device

Art Unit: 2126

(adapters, printers, col. 6, lines 1-42), and the computer (client computer; col. 6, lines 1-42) being connected via a computer network (LAN card, client computers, various printers; col. 6, lines 1-18), wherein the server is directly connected to the computer (client computer, remote computer, computer network; col. 6, lines 1-42 and Fig. 1), the peripheral device is indirectly connected to the computer (printers 122; col. 6, lines 1-42 and Fig. 1).

- 14. Although Chrabaszcz does not teach a print server, Chrabaszcz teaches a server and a device in general (abstract), and server controls various types of printers (col. 6, lines 1-18). Obviously, the server in the system of Chrabaszcz could be print server.
- 15. However, Chrabaszcz does not explicitly teach installing the printer drivers in the computer, preparing the print server to determine if a current installation of the printer drivers is a first installation of the printer drivers, the information associated with the first installation including a first identification data string, comparing the first data string with the current data string, and installing the printer drivers in the computer based upon the information associated with the first installation if the first data string matches the current data string, and at least a portion of a routine for the preparing, comparing, and installing operations is stored in a memory of the computer.
- 16. White teaches (col. 3, lines27 col. 8, line 65) preparing the print server to determine if a current installation of the printer drivers is a first installation of the printer drivers (When network plug and play service 50 ... is a new device on the network), and installing the printer

Art Unit: 2126

driver based upon the information associated with the first installation (the network plug and play ... print driver). It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of White to the system of Chrabaszcz because it provides a method to the users for faster installation of the same device. Thambidural teaches the printer driver should be installed locally in the end-user's host or in the printer site is left to the implementation (page 8, right column, last paragraph). It would have been obvious at least a portion of a routine for the preparing, comparing, and installing operations is stored in a memory of the computer. Although Chrabaszcz as modified does not explicitly teach the information associated with the first installation including a first identification data string, comparing the first data string with the current data string, and installing the printer drivers in the computer based upon the information associated with the first installation if the first data string matches the current data string. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to associate the device identification data string with the information of the first installation and use it to retrieve the needed information for subsequence installation because the device's identification data string is unique to every device and available for each installation.

17. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Chrabaszcz, White and Thambidurai because it provides a method for the users to have options to install the drivers either in the local computer or in the print server.

Art Unit: 2126

- 18. As to claim 28, Chrabaszcz as modified teach installing the printer drivers in the computer if the first data string does not match the current data string and storing information associated with the installing, in the memory of the print server (the program automatically loads ... the user, saving the modified start-up configuration files in the system's main memory; col. 11, line 1 col. 12, line 34).
- 19. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Charabaszcz (U.S. 6,263,387 B1) in view of White et al (U.S. 6,301,012 B1) and Thambidurai (Internet Printing) further in view of APA (Admitted Prior Art).
- 20. **As to claim 29**, Charabaszcz does not teach the first and current data strings are IEEE 1284 ID data strings. APA teaches the device identifications conform to an IEEE 1284 signaling standard (The signaling standard ... 1994; page 3, line 1- page 4, line 5). It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of APA to the system of Chrabaszcz because it provides all the benefit of IEEE Std. 1284 to the users.
- 21. Claims 2-3, 11, 16-17, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leyda (U.S. 5,867,730) in view of Charabaszcz (U.S. 6,263,387 B1) and Thambidurai (Internet Printing) further in view of DeRosa Jr. et al. (U.S. 5,822,565).

Art Unit: 2126

- 22. As to claims 2 and 16, Leyda does not teach translating the first device identification into at least a second device identification if the first device identification does not match one of the names, comparing the at least second device identification to the device names, and selecting a driver from the list if the at least second device identification matches one of the names.

  DeRosa teaches a device identifier typically includes a vendor identifier and a device number (col. 6, lines 15-29). DeRosa further teaches different string identifiers maybe produce by a system for different devices bases on vendors and platforms (col. 8, lines 1-64). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Leyda and DeRosa to translate the device identification to select a driver for a device because there are multiple string identifier are existed in the same system.
- 23. As to claims 3 and 17, Leyda does not teach determining whether the peripheral device requires a special measure in order to install the selected device driver, identifying an appropriate special measure from a database of potential special measures stored in a memory of the print server, and informing a user of the appropriate special measure. Chrabaszcz teaches (col. 11, lines 42-54) determining whether the peripheral device requires a special measure in order to install the selected device driver (the program determines ... are required), identifying an appropriate special measure from a table of potential special measures stored in a memory of the print server (By looking up a table ... that device), and informing a user of the appropriate special measure (the program announces ... required values). Although Chrabaszcz does not teach a database to store the special measure, it would have been obvious a database could be employed in the system of Leyda because it just a matter of implementation. It would have been

obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Leyda and Chrabaszcz because it provides the users a more effective method to install the drivers.

- 24. **As to claims 11 and 22**, Leyda as modified does not teach the translating includes a database look-up. Leyda teaches a table look-up is carried out (with the unique identification ... driver type; col. 7, line 30 col. 8, line 31). As discuss in claim 3, a database could be incorporated in the system of Leyda because it just a different way of implementation.
- Claims 4 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leyda (U.S. 5,867,730) in view of Charabaszcz (U.S. 6,263,387 B1), Thambidurai (Internet Printing) and DeRosa Jr. et al. (U.S. 5,822,565) further in view of White et al. (U.S. 6,301,012 B1).
- As to claims 4 and 18, Leyda does not explicitly teach alerting a user if the second device identification does not match one of the names. White teaches alert a user if the driver could not be located (Automatically installing ... installation location; col. 3, line 58 col. 4, line 16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Leyda and White because it provides the users a method to install a device successfully with minimum interaction.
- 27. Claims 7-9, 12-14, 20-21, and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leyda (U.S. 5,867,730) in view of Charabaszcz (U.S. 6,263,387 B1),

Art Unit: 2126

Thambidurai (Internet Printing) and DeRosa Jr. et al. (U.S. 5,822,565) further in view of APA (Admitted Prior Art).

- 28. **As to claim** 7, Leyda does not teach the device identifications conform to an IEEE 1284 signaling standard. APA teaches the device identifications conform to an IEEE 1284 signaling standard (The signaling standard ... 1994; page 3, line 1- page 4, line 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Leyda and APA because it provides all the benefit of IEEE Std. 1284 to the users.
- As to claim 8, Leyda teaches the first device identification includes at least manufacturer and model key values (including a vendor identification and model number for the CD\_ROM driver 30; col. 6, lines 35-54).
- 30. **As to claim 9**, Leyda teaches the first device identification includes a compatibility identification key field (including a vendor identification and model number for the CD\_ROM driver 30; col. 6, lines 35-54).
- As to claim 12, Leyda as modified does not teach the translating includes a database look-up using alternate names and key values. Leyda teaches a table look-up is carried out. As discuss in claim 3, a database could be incorporated in the system of Leyda because it just a different way of implementation. DeRosa teaches different string identifiers maybe produce by a system for different devices bases on vendors and platforms (col. 8, lines 1-64). It would have

Page 12

Application/Control Number: 09/471,333

Art Unit: 2126

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine and modify the teaching of Leyda and DeRosa because to implement a look-up in database, one could use alternate name of key values.

- 32. As to claim 13, Leyda does not teach the translating includes concatenating the manufacturer and model key values. DeRosa teaches concatenating the manufacturer and model key values to locate the configuration file. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Leyda and DeRosa because it provides the user with alternate methods to locate the driver when there are multiple implementations in the system.
- 33. **As to claim 14**, see rejection of claim 13 above except the translating further includes removing the manufacturer key value.
- 34. As to claims 20-21 and 24, see rejections of claims 7-9 above.
- 35. As to claims 23 and 25-26, see rejections of claims 12-14 above.

#### Response to Arguments

- 36. Applicant's arguments filed 6/14/12204 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 37. In the remarks, Applicant argued in substance that

Application/Control Number: 09/471,333 Page 13

Art Unit: 2126

(1) Leyda does not teach a method for "preparing a print server to retrieve a first device identification from a memory of the peripheral device, the print server, the peripheral device, and the computer being connected via a computer network, wherein the print server is directly connected to the computer, and the peripheral device is indirectly connected to the computer, at least a portion of a routine for the preparing, comparing, and selecting operations is stored in a memory of the computer and at least a portion of a routine for the preparing, comparing, and selecting operations is stored in a memory of the print server".

- (2) No motivation to combine the teaching of Leyda, Charabaszcz, and Thambidurai.
- (3) Chrabaszcz does not teach a method of configuring a computer for installation of a peripheral device, including preparing a print server to retrieve a first device identification from a memory of the peripheral device, the peripheral device is indirectly connected to the computer, and at least a portion of a routine for the preparing, comparing, and selecting operations is stored in a memory of the computer and at least a portion of a routine for the preparing, comparing, and selecting operations is stored in a memory of the print server.
- (4) Thambidurai does not teach preparing a print server to retrieve a first device identification from a memory of the peripheral device, the peripheral device is indirectly connected to the computer, and at least a portion of a routine for the preparing, comparing, and selecting operations is stored in a memory of the computer and at least a portion of a routine for the preparing, comparing, and selecting operations is stored in a memory of the print server.
- (5) Chrabaszcz does not teach installing the printer drivers in the computer if the current installation is the first installation and storing information associated with the current installation in a memory of the printer server, and at least a portion of a routine for the preparing, comparing,

and selecting operations is stored in a memory of the computer and at least a portion of a routine for the preparing, comparing, and selecting operations is stored in a memory of the print server.

Page 14

(6) White does not teach installing the printer drivers in the computer, and at least a portion of a routine for the preparing, comparing, and selecting operations is stored in a memory of the computer and at least a portion of a routine for the preparing, comparing, and selecting operations is stored in a memory of the print server.

#### 38. Examiner respectfully traversed Applicant's remarks:

As to the point (1), the claimed limitations are taught by Chrabaszcz's reference. Leyda's reference is used to teach a method to configure a computer to install the driver for a locally attached device by retrieving the device identification from the device, and compare it the list of device name and associated driver that stored in the computer, and selecting the driver when the device name and the device identification is matched (see rejection of claim 1 above).

As to the point (2), Leyda teaches how to install a driver for locally attached device, Charabaszcz teaches how to install a driver for a device hot add to the server, and Thambidurai teaches an end user computer can be connected to a local printer or network printers, wherein the drivers for the network printers could be installed in the end user computer or in the print server is a matter of implementation. Given all the teachings of Leyda and Chrabaszcz and suggesting of Thambidurai, one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine the teaching of all three so the user could install the driver in the computer instead of the print server for better management.

As to the point (3), Chrabaszcz teaches preparing a print server to retrieve a first device identification from a memory of the peripheral device, the peripheral device is indirectly connected to the computer, and at least a portion of a routine for the preparing, comparing, and selecting operations is stored in a memory of the print server (see rejection of claim 1 above). Leyda teaches at least a portion of a routine for the preparing, comparing, and selecting operations is stored in a memory of the computer (see rejection of claim 1 above), and Thambidurai teaches an end user computer can be connected to a local printer or network printers, wherein the drivers for the network printers could be installed in the end user computer or in the print server is a matter of implementation. Because the device is directly connected to the print server, and the driver could be installed in the end user computer, it would have been obvious the at least part of the routine is stored in the end user computer.

As to the point (4), the limitations are taught by Leyda and Chrabaszcz. Thambidurai's reference is used to teach an end user computer can be connected to a local printer or network printers, wherein the drivers for the network printers could be installed in the end user computer or in the print server is a matter of implementation (see rejection of claim 1 above).

As to the point (5), Chrabaszcz teaches installing the printer drivers in the computer if the current installation is the first installation and storing information associated with the current installation in a memory of the printer server, and at least a portion of a routine for the preparing, comparing, and selecting operations is stored in a memory of the print server (see rejection of claim 27 above). Thambidurai teaches an end user computer can be connected to a local printer or network printers, wherein the drivers for the network printers could be installed in the end user computer or in the print server is a matter of implementation. Because the device is directly

Art Unit: 2126

connected to the print server, and the driver could be installed in the end user computer, it would have been obvious the at least part of the routine is stored in the end user computer. Examiner clearly pointed out all the elements of the claims, but Applicant does not give any reason why the cited passages did not read on the claim limitations.

As to the point (6), in the last Office action, and again in this Office action, Examiner shows Thambidurai teaches an end user computer can be connected to a local printer or network printers, wherein the drivers for the network printers could be installed in the end user computer or in the print server is a matter of implementation (see rejection of claim 27 above). See Examiner's position regarding the limitation "at least a portion of a routine for the preparing, comparing, and selecting operations is stored in a memory of the computer and at least a portion of a routine for the preparing, comparing, and selecting operations is stored in a memory of the print server" above. Also, White's reference is used to teach preparing the print server to determine if a current installation is the first installation, and installing the printer driver based upon the information associated with the first installation (see rejection of claim 27 above).

#### Conclusion

39. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diem K Cao whose telephone number is (703) 305-5220 or (571) 272-3760 (after November 1st 2004). The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday, 9:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703) 305-9678 or (571) 272-3756 (after November 1<sup>st</sup> 2004). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Diem Cao

TECHNÓLOGY CENTER 2100